Bull. Astr. Soc. India (2013) **00**, 1–5

arXiv:1406.5326v1 [astro-ph.SR] 20 Jun 2014

Constraining the amplitude of turbulence in solar corona using observations of angular broadening of radio sources

Madhusudan Ingale[1]*, Prasad Subramanian[1] and Iver H. Cairns[2]

[1]*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Sai Trinity Building, Pashan, Pune - 411021, India.*
[2]*School of Physics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia.*

Received — ; accepted —

Abstract. The angular broadening of compact radio sources observed through a medium having turbulent density irregularities is usually estimated using the phase structure function. We employ an exact formulation for the phase structure function that helps in obtaining an accurate estimate of angular broadening when the baseline lengths are comparable to the inner scale of the turbulent spectrum.

Keywords : solar wind turbulence, angular broadening

1. Introduction

Scattering of electromagnetic waves due to density turbulence in the solar corona and the solar wind gives rise to angular broadening of the radio sources. We use a formalism based on the parabolic wave approximation with general form of the phase structure function $D_\phi(s)$. Almost all previous investigations so far (e.g. Subramanian and Cairns 2011) have employed asymptotic forms of the phase structure function that are valid only in the limits where the baseline length is either much greater than, or much less than the inner scale of the turbulent spectrum . We use the full expression for $D_\phi(s)$ that does not need these limiting assumptions.

2. Phase Structure function

An interferometer used to measure angular broadening actually measures the spatial coherence, which provides a good estimate of the mutual coherence function $\Gamma(s)$ of density turbulence

*email: i.madhusudan@students.iiserpune.ac.in

through which the radiation propagates. This is directly related to the phase structure function $D_\phi(s)$ through (Ishimaru 1978, Ch 20)

$$\Gamma(s) = exp(-D_\phi(s)/2) \tag{1}$$

The coherence scale s_0 is given by $D_\phi(s_0) = 1$. For a given wavelength λ, the extent to which an ideal point source is broadened is given by

$$\theta_c = (2\pi s_0/\lambda)^{-1} \tag{2}$$

The power in the turbulent density fluctuations in the scattering medium is assumed to follow the following spectrum (e.g., Coles et al 1987):

$$S_n(k, R) = C_N^2(R)\, k^{-\alpha} exp[-(kl_i/2\pi)^2] \tag{3}$$

The power spectrum thus comprises a power law with index α together with an exponential turnover at the inner scale l_i.
The general form of the phase structure function for the power spectrum (3) (Coles et al 1987), including the effects of a spatially varying plasma frequency $f_p(R)$ (Cairns, 1998) is given by,

$$D_\phi(s) = \frac{8\pi^2 r_e^2 \lambda^2}{2^{\alpha-2}(\alpha-2)}\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha-2}{2}\right)\int_{R_0}^{R_1}\frac{C_N^2(R)}{(1-f_p^2(R)/f^2)} l_i(R)^{\alpha-2}\times\left\{{}_1F_1\left[-\frac{\alpha-2}{2}, 1, -\left(\frac{s}{l_i(R)}\right)^2\right]-1\right\}\,\mathrm{d}R \tag{4}$$

Here ${}_1F_1$ denotes the confluent hypergeometric function, f_p is the plasma frequency, f is the radiation frequency corrosponding to the wavelength $\lambda(= 2\pi c/f)$, r_e is the classical electron radius. The following limiting forms of equation (4) are commonly used (e.g. Coles et. al 1987, Subramanian & Cairns, 2011):

$$D_\phi(s) = \frac{8\pi^2 r_e^2 \lambda^2}{2^{\alpha-2}(\alpha-2)}\frac{\Gamma(1-(\alpha-2)/2)}{\Gamma(1+(\alpha-2)/2)} s^{\alpha-2}\int_{R_0}^{R_1}\frac{C_N^2(R)}{(1-f_p^2(R)/f^2)}\,\mathrm{d}R\,, \quad s \gg l_i \tag{5}$$

$$D_\phi(s) = \frac{4\pi^2 r_e^2 \lambda^2}{2^{\alpha-2}}\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha-2}{2}\right) s^2\int_{R_0}^{R_1}\frac{C_N^2(R)}{(1-f_p^2(R)/f^2)} l_i(R)^{\alpha-4}\,\mathrm{d}R\,, \quad s \ll l_i \tag{6}$$

The integration limits ranges from the source (R_0) to the observer (R_1) when considering spaherical wave propagation. For plane wave propagation the integral along the line of sight can be replaced simply with the integrand multiplied by ΔL, the thickness of the scattering screen. In what follows, we compute scatter broadening angles θ_c using the full expression (eq 4) and compare them with those obtained with the limiting expressions of eqs (5) and (6).

We use the following model for the amplitude of density turbulence, $C_N^2(R)$, first proposed by Spangler and Sakurai (1995) and later improved on by Spangler et al (2002), which was obtained from a linear fit to VLBI data of the scattering measure between $10R_\odot - 50R_\odot$

$$C_N^2 = 1.8\times 10^{10}\left(\frac{R}{10R_\odot}\right)^{-3.66} \tag{7}$$

The dimensions of $C_N^2(R)$ depend on α, being $\mathrm{m}^{-\alpha-3}$.



Figure 1. Predicted θ_c as a function of l_i (in km). The solid line is for the full phase structure function (equation 4) and the dashed line is for the asymptotic branch $s \gg l_i$ (equation 5).



Figure 2. Predicted θ_c as a function of l_i (in km). The solid line is for the general phase structure function (equation 4) and the dashed line is for the asymptotic branch $s \ll l_i$ (equation 6).

3. Results and Discussion

We have treated plane wave propagation (which is appropriate for distant sources) as well as spherical wave effects (which is appropriate for sources embedded in the solar corona). We adopt the fourfold Newkirk model for ambient electron density (Newkirk, 1961). The fundamental emission at 327 MHz emanates from the heliocentric distance of $1.108R_\odot$ with this model. For spherical wave propagation the lower limit of integration R_0 is taken to be $1.109R_\odot$ to avoid the singularity. For the plane wave case we evaluated equation (4, 5 and 6) at an elongation of $10R_\odot$, using $\Delta L = 0.5\,R_\odot$ for the thickness of the scattering screen. The scattering angle θ_c is calculated using equation (2) at wavelength $\lambda = 91$cm, corrosponding to the fundamental emission at 327MHz. When using the full form of the phase structure function (equation 4), we numerically determine the value of s_0 which satisfies $D_\phi(s_0) = 1$.

We compare the prediction of the scattering angle obtained by using the full phase structure function (equation 4) with those predicted by the asymptotic branches (equations 5 and 6). We emphasize that the quantity l_i is varied as a free parameter in order to make this comparison. We find that the range 0.1 cm $< l_i <$ 800 km spans the limits $s \ll l_i$ to $s \gg l_i$.

Our results are summarized in Figures 1 and 2. The left panel of figure 1 shows that for plane wave propagation the predictions of equation (4) and the asymptotic branch for $s \gg l_i$ (equation 5) agree for $l_i \leq 300$ m. For values of $l_i > 300$ m, the approximation $s \gg l_i$ is clearly not adequate, and the full structure function (equation 4) is the appropriate expression to use. On the other hand, the left panel of figure 2 shows that the prediction of the full structure function (equation 4) agrees with that of the $s \ll l_i$ asymptotic branch (equation 6) for $l_i \geq 200$ km.

For spherical wave propagation we need to use the effective baseline $s_{eff} = sR/R_0$, where R_0 is the distance of the scattering screen from the source. The right panel of Figure 1 shows that the full structure function (equation 4) agrees with that of the $s_{eff} \gg l_i$ branch (equation 5) for $l_i < 100$ m, while the right panel of Figure 2 shows that the full structure function prediction agrees with the $s_{eff} \ll l_i$ branch (equation 6) for $l_i > 60$ km.

To summarize, we note that the predictions of the asymptotic branches fail for 300 m $< l_i <$ 200 km for plane wave propagation. For spherical wave propagation, this range is 100 m $< l_i <$ 60 km. The full structure function needs to be used under these circumstances.

This work assumes that the spatial power spectrum of density turbulence is isotropic, whereas it is well known that the power spectrum is anisotropic close to the Sun (e.g., Narayan et al 1989; Armstrong et al 1990). Further work is needed to incorporate anisotropy (e.g., Backer & Chandran 2002). Although we have so far taken the power law index α in the turbulent density spectrum (Eq 3) to be equal to the Kolmogorov value of 11/3, it may be noted that there is evidence for a flattening of the spectrum to power law indices closer to 3 between scales ranging from around 100 km to the inner scale at heliocentric distances of a few $R_\odot$ (Coles & Harmon 1989). The extent of this flattening strongly depends upon the phase of the solar cycle and the speed of the solar wind in question (Manoharan 1994), but it is worth examining this issue by using $\alpha = 3$ in Eq (3), as in Bastian (1994). When using $\alpha = 3$, the normalization for C_N^2 in Eq (7) changes from 1.8×10^{10} to 10^{12}. With $\alpha = 3$, for plane wave propagation (at an elongation of 10 $R_\odot$ and using a screen thickness $\Delta L = 0.5\, R_\odot$ as before) the predictions of the combined structure function and the asymptotic branches disagree for values of the inner scale in the range $100\, m < l_i < 1000\, km$. Using $\alpha = 3$ for spherical wave propagation, the disagreements between the combined structure function predictions for angular broadening observed at the Earth and those of the asymptotic branches are observed for $1\, m < l_i < 100\, km$.

4. Conclusion

We have explored the broadening of an ideal point source with a specific model for the amplitude of turbulence C_N^2. We have examined both plane and spherical wave propagation, and find that

for 100 m $< l_i <$ 200 km the predictions of the asymptotic branches are inadequate and the full phase structure function (equation 4) should be used in order to obtain accurate results. Coles & Harmon (1989) note that 400 m $< l_i <$ 100 km for heliocentric distances ranging from 2 to 100 $R_\odot$; clearly, the full structure function (Eq 4) needs to be used under these circumstances. It is also clear from Figure 1 that the value of the scatter-broadened angle is senstitive to the value of the inner scale l_i for $l_i >$ 100 m. In other words, inner scale effects are important for $l_i >$ 100 m. Importantly, this is also the regime where the predictions of the $s \gg l_i$ branch fail, and it is essential to use the full phase structure function. We have also explored the consequences of using a power law index $\alpha = 3$ that is flatter than the Kolmogorov value for the density turbulence spectrum. We find that this change considerably extends the range of inner scales for which the asymptotic branch predictions and those using the full phase structure function disagree. This underlines the importance of using the full phase structure function for quantitative estimates of angular broadening.

Acknowledgements

MI and PS acknowledge support from the CAWSES-India program administered by the Indian Space Research Organization. IHC acknowledges the financial support from the Australian Research Council. They thank the anonymous referee for a very helpful report that has considerably helped improve this paper.

References

Armstrong, J. W, Coles, W. A, Kojima, M, and Ricket, B. J, 1990, Astrophys. J, 358, 685-692.
Bastian, T. S, 1994, Astrophys. J, 426, 774-781.
Cairns, I. H, 1998, Astrophys. J, 506, 456-463.
Coles, W. A, Harmon, J. K, 1989, Astrophys. J., 337, 1023-1034.
Coles, W. A, Frehlich, R. G, Rickett, B. J, and Codona, J. L, 1987, Astrophys. J., 315, 666-674.
Ishimaru, A. 1978, Wave Propagation and Scattering in Random Media (New York : Academic).
Manoharan, P. K, Kojima, M, and Misawa, H, 1994, JGR, 99, 23,411-23,420.
Narayan, R, Anantharamaiah, K. R, and Cornwell, T. J, 1989, MNRAS, 241, 403-413.
Newkirk, G, 1961, Astrophys. J, 133, 983-1013.
Spangler, S. R, Sakurai, T, 1995, Astrophys. J., 445, 999-1016.
Spangler, S. R, Kavars, D. W, Kortenkamp, P. S, Bondi, M, Mantovani, F, and Alef, W, 2002, Astron. Astrophys., 384, 654-665.
Subramanian, P, Cairns, I, 2011, JGR, 116, A03104.